arXiv:1701.08833v1 [math.MG] 30 Jan 2017

Pre-kites: Simplices having a regular facet

Mowaffaq Hajja
Department of Mathematics
Yarmouk University
Irbid – Jordan

mowhajja@yahoo.com

Mostafa Hayajneh
Department of Mathematics
Yarmouk University
Irbid – Jordan

hayaj86@yahoo.com

Ismail Hammoudeh
Department of Physics
Amman National University
Salt – Jordan

ihammoudeh@ammanu.edu.jo
ismaa3iil@gmail.com

Abstract. The investigation of the relation among the distances of an arbitrary point in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ to the vertices of a regular n-simplex in that space has led us to the study of simplices having a regular facet. Calling an n-simplex with a regular facet an n-pre-kite, we investigate, in the spirit of [14], [10], [9], and [15], and using tools from linear algebra, the degree of regularity implied by the coincidence of any two of the classical centers of such simplices. We also prove that if $n \geq 3$, then the intersection of the family of n-pre-kites with any of the four known special families is the family of n-kites, thus extending the result in [18]. A basic tool is a closed form of a determinant that arises in the context of a certain Cayley-Menger determinant, and that generalizes several determinants that appear in [9], [15], and [16]. Thus the paper is a further testimony to the special role that linear algebra plays in higher dimensional geometry.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 52B11; Secondary 52B12, 52B15, 51M20, 52B10.

Keywords. affine hull, affine independence, Cayley-Menger determinant, centroid, cevian, circumcenter, circumscriptible simplex, incenter, inner Cayley-Menger determinant, isodynamic simplex, isogonic simplex, equiareal simplex, equiradial simplex, kite, orthocentric simplex, orthogonal complement, Pompeiu's theorem, pre-kite, special tetrahedra, tetra-isogonic simplex, well distributed edge lengths

1 Introduction

The distances $t_1, \cdots, t_{n+1}$ between the vertices of a regular n-simplex S of edge length t_0 and an arbitrary point P in its affine hull are related by the elegant relation

$$(n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} t_j^4 \;=\; \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} t_j^2\right)^2 ; \tag{1}$$

see [6] for a very short proof, and see [13] for a proof that (1) is essentially the only relation that exists among the quantities $t_0, \cdots, t_{n+1}$, for fixed t_0. Figure 1 below illustrates the case when $d = 2$, i.e., when the regular simplex is an equilateral triangle.



Figure 1

Illustrating (1) in the case $d = 2$

The relation (1) has been a source of fascination, and its special case $n = 2$ has been a source of inspiration to problem lovers. Problems that refer to Figure 1 and that give numerical values of three of the variables t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3 and ask about the fourth variable can, in the absence of the relation (1), be thought of as challenging. Such problems have appeared in [5], [12], [22], [27], and possibly others. One of the features of Figure 1 is the fact that the lengths t_1, t_2, t_3 can serve as the side lengths of a triangle, i.e., they satisfy the triangle inequality. This non-obvious and interesting fact is attributed to the Romanian mathematician Dimitrie Pompeiu (1873–1954), and now carries his name. It, too, appeared, with different proofs quite frequently; see, for example, [2], [3], [11], [1], [26], [20], [4], and [31].

Moving the point P outside the affine hull of the regular n-simplex S results in an $(n+1)$-simplex (S, P) having S as a facet. This led us to consider such simplices. Thus we call an $(n+1)$-simplex having a regular facet an $(n+1)$-*pre-kite*, and we investigate their properties. When the edges emanating from the point P to the vertices of S are equal, then the pre-kite (S, P) is what was called a *kite* in [9] and in [18].

In this paper, we find a closed form of the Cayley-Menger determinant of a pre-kite. A main determinant that comes up generalizes several determinants that have appeared in [9], [15], and [16], and possibly other places. By setting the Cayley-Menger determinant M equal to 0, we obtain the relation (1) mentioned above, and we use this relation to give a new proof of Pompeiu's theorem. We also investigate the degree of regularity implied by the coincidence of two of the classical centers of a pre-kite, and we find the intersection of the family of pre-kites with any of the known special families of orthocentric, circumscriptible, isodynamic, and tetra-isogonic simplices.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the Cayley-Menger and the inner Cayley-Menger determinants $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ of a simplex S, and we recall the formulas that give the volume and circumradius of S in terms of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. In Section 3, we find a closed form of a certain determinant that will be used to evaluate $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. This determinant has, as special cases, several determinants that have appeared in the earlier literature. Section 4 applies the formulas in Section 3 to pre-kites to give a new derivation of (1) and to give a new proof of Pompeiu's theorem. In Section 5, we prove that a non-regular pre-kite cannot have more than two different regular facets, and we also characterize the positive numbers that can serve as edge lengths of a two-apexed pre-kite. In Section 6, we prove that if the circumcenter and centroid of a pre-kite coincide, then it is regular. The same holds if the circumcenter and incenter coincide. We also prove that if $n \leq 5$, and if the centroid and the incenter of an n-pre-kite coincide, then it is regular, and we provide examples of non-regular n-pre-kites, $n \geq 6$, in which the centroid and incenter coincide. We also prove that if the Fermat-Torricelli point of a pre-kite coincides with either the centroid or the circumcenter, then it is regular. In Section 7, we prove that if a pre-kite of dimension $n \geq 3$ belongs to any of the four known special families of orthocentric, circumscriptible, isodynamic, and tetra-isogonic n-simplices, then it is a kite.

It is not unusual to use tools from linear algebra, such as properties of certain matrices and determinants, in investigations pertaining to higher-dimensional geometry. The importance of such tools is manifested, for example, in the proofs of the higher dimensional analogues of the theorems of Pythagoras, as in [25] and [8], Napoleon, as in [30] and [29], the law of sines, as in [24], coincidences of centers, as in [14], [10], [9], and [15], and the open mouth theorem, as in [17].

2 The Cayley-Menger determinant, and formulas for the volume and circumradius of an n-simplex

This section puts together known formulas for the volume and circumradius of an n-simplex in terms of the Cayley-Menger and inner Cayley-Menger determinants of S.

We recall that an *n-simplex*, $n \geq 2$, is defined to be the convex hull $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ of $n+1$ affinely independent points $A_0, \cdots, A_n$ in a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq n$. For $0 \leq j \leq n$, A_j is called the j-th *vertex* of S, and the $(n-1)$-simplex obtained from S by removing the vertex A_j is called the j-th *facet* of S and is denoted by S_j. The simplex obtained from S by removing any number of vertices is called a *face* of S.

Let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ be an n-simplex, and let

$$\|A_i - A_j\|^2 = a_{i,j}, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq n. \tag{2}$$

The *Cayley-Menger determinant* $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(S)$ of S is the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ determinant whose entries $c_{i,j}$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq n$, are defined by

$$c_{i,j} \;=\; \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = -1 \text{ and } j \neq -1, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = -1 \text{ and } i \neq -1, \\ a_{i,j} & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

see, for example, [7, §9.7.3.1, pp. 237–238]. Thus

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(S) \;=\; \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{0,n-1} & a_{0,n} \\ 1 & a_{1,0} & 0 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1,n-1} & a_{1,n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & a_{n-1,0} & a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & a_{n-1,n} \\ 1 & a_{n,0} & a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n,n-1} & 0 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

If $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(S)$ is the volume (i.e., the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure or content) of S, then it is well known that

$$(-1)^{n+1} 2^n (n!)^2 \mathcal{V}^2 \;=\; \mathcal{C}; \tag{5}$$

see, for example, [28, (5.1), §5, Chapter VIII, p. 125] and [21].

The determinant obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by deleting the uppermost row and the leftmost column will be denoted by $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(S)$, and will be referred to as the *inner Cayley-Menger determinant* of S. Thus

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(S) \;=\; \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{0,n-1} & a_{0,n} \\ a_{1,0} & 0 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1,n-1} & a_{1,n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n-1,0} & a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & a_{n-1,n} \\ a_{n,0} & a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n,n-1} & 0 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The determinants $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(S)$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(S)$ are used in [21] to express the circumradius $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(S)$ of S as

$$\mathcal{R}^2 \;=\; \frac{-\mathcal{D}}{2\mathcal{C}}. \tag{7}$$

3 A special determinant

In this section, we consider the determinant $\mathbb{K}(n; z; x_1, \cdots, x_n; y_1, \cdots, y_n; a; b)$ defined by (10) below, and we evaluate it in closed form in Theorem 3.2. This will then be used in Theorems 4.2 and 4.1 to find formulas for the volumes and circumradii of pre-kites and their facets. These formulas will in turn be used to determine the degree of regularity implied by the coincidence of any two of the classical centers of a pre-kite. Note that the special cases of $\mathbb{K}(n; z; x_1, \cdots, x_n; y_1, \cdots, y_n; a; b)$ when $[z = 1,\ x_i = y_i]$, $[z = 0,\ x_i = y_i,\ a = 1,\ b = -1]$, and $[z = 0,\ x_i = y_i]$ have appeared in [9], [15], and [16], respectively, where they were instrumental in establishing the results there.

We start with defining the special determinants $\mathbb{J}$ and $\mathbb{K}$. In all that follows, a, b, z, x_j, y_j stand for real numbers for all non-negative integers j, but the treatment may still hold over other rings.

Definition 3.1 The determinant $\mathbb{J}(n; z; a; b)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is the $n \times n$ determinant that has b on every entry on the main diagonal and a everywhere else. The determinant $\mathbb{K}(n; z; x_1, \cdots, x_n; y_1, \cdots, y_n; a; b)$ is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ determinant $(d_{i,j})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ whose 0-th row is $[z, y_1, \cdots, y_n]$, whose 0-th column is $[z, x_1, \cdots, x_n]^t$, and whose subdeterminant $(d_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ is $\mathbb{J}(n; a; b)$. More formally, the entries $d_{i,j}, 0 \le i, j \le n$, are given by

$$d_{i,j} \;=\; \begin{cases} z & \text{if } i = j = 0, \\ x_i & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ and } 1 \le i \le n, \\ y_j & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } 1 \le j \le n, \\ b & \text{if } 1 \le i = j \le n, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}(n; a; b) \;&=\; \begin{vmatrix} b & a & a & \cdots & a \\ a & b & a & \cdots & a \\ a & a & b & \cdots & a \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a & a & a & \cdots & b \end{vmatrix}, \quad \text{(of size } n \times n\text{)} \\ &=\; ((n-1)a + b)(b - a)^{n-1}, \text{ by Lemma 3.1 of [16].} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The formula above has also appeared as Lemma 7.1 in [15] and as Lemma 3.6 in [9]. Also,

$$\mathbb{K}(n; z; \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}; a; b) \;=\; \mathbb{K}(n; z; x_1, \cdots, x_n; y_1, \cdots, y_n; a; b)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} z & y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_j & \cdots & y_n \\ x_1 & b & a & \cdots & a & \cdots & a \\ x_2 & a & b & \cdots & a & \cdots & a \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_j & a & a & \cdots & b & \cdots & a \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_n & a & a & \cdots & a & \cdots & b \end{vmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 3.2 *Let $n \geq 1$, and let*

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n), \quad \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \cdots, y_n), \tag{11}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{xy}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j. \tag{12}$$

Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}(n; z; \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}; a; b)$ be the determinant defined by (10). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{K}(n; z; \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}; a; b) \\ = \; & (b-a)^{n-2} \left[((n-1)a + b) \left(z(b-a) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{xy}} \right) + a \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{y}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction. For $n = 1$, the statement is trivial, being nothing but

$$\mathbb{K}(1; z; x_1; y_1; a; b) \;=\; \begin{vmatrix} z & y_1 \\ x_1 & b \end{vmatrix} = zb - x_1 y_1.$$

Suppose now that (13) holds for $n = r$ for some $r \geq 1$. We are to show that it holds for $n = r + 1$. Thus we let

$$\mathbf{x}' \;=\; (x_1, \cdots, x_{r+1}), \quad \mathbf{y}' \;=\; (y_1, \cdots, y_{r+1}), \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}} \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} x_j, \quad \mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{y}} \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} y_j, \quad \mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{xy}} \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} x_j y_j, \tag{15}$$

and we show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{K}(r+1; z; \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{y}'; a; b) \\ = \; & (b-a)^{r-1} \left[(ra + b) \left(z(b-a) - \mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{xy}} \right) + a \mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{y}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

For simplicity, we denote $\mathbb{K}(r+1; z; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}'; a; b)$ by K, and we refer to its rows and columns as the 0-th, the first, etc. Thus the 0-th row of K is $[z, y_1, \cdots, y_{r+1}]$, and the 0-th column is $[z, x_1, \cdots, x_{r+1}]^t$. Expanding K along the 0-th row, we obtain

$$K \;=\; zC_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} (-1)^j y_j C_j, \tag{17}$$

where C_j is the $(0,j)$-th minor of K. Since C_0 is the case $n = r+1$ of the determinant given in (9), it is clear that

$$C_0 \;=\; \mathbb{J}(r+1;a;b) \;=\; (ra+b)(b-a)^r, \text{ by (9).} \tag{18}$$

To calculate C_j, $1 \le j \le r+1$, we recall that C_j is obtained from K by deleting the 0-th row and j-th column, and we let $R_1, \cdots, R_{r+1}$ be the rows of C_j. Notice that $R_1 = [x_1, b, a, \cdots, a]$ and $R_j = [x_j, a, a, \cdots, a]$, for $j > 1$. Let E_j be the determinant obtained from C_j by moving R_j to the very top (with $E_1 = C_1$). Thus the rows of E_j are $R_j, R_1, \cdots, R_{j-1}, R_{j+1}, \cdots, R_{r+1}$, i.e., $R_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, R_{\sigma(r+1)}$, where σ is the cyclic permutation $(1 \;\; j \;\; j-1 \;\; j-2 \;\; \cdots \;\; 2)$. Thus $C_j = (-1)^{j-1}E_j$. Also, the uppermost row of E_j is $[x_j, a, \cdots, a]$, the leftmost column is $[x_j, x_1, \cdots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \cdots, x_{r+1}]^t$, and the remaining entries form $\mathbb{J}(r;a;b)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
C_j &= (-1)^{j-1}E_j \\
&= (-1)^{j-1}\mathbb{K}(r; x_j; x_1, \cdots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \cdots, x_{r+1}; a, \cdots, a; a; b) \\
&= (-1)^{j-1}(b-a)^{r-2}\left[((r-1)a+b)(x_j(b-a) - a(\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}} - x_j)) + a(\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}} - x_j)(ra)\right] \\
&= (-1)^{j-1}(b-a)^{r-2}\left[((r-1)a+b)x_j(b-a) - a(\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}} - x_j)((r-1)a+b-ra)\right] \\
&= (-1)^{j-1}(b-a)^{r-2+1}\left[((r-1)a+b)x_j - a(\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}} - x_j)\right] \\
&= (-1)^{j-1}(b-a)^{r-1}\left[(ra+b)x_j - a\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}}\right]. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (17), (18), and (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
K &= z(ra+b)(b-a)^r + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1}(-1)^j y_j (-1)^{j-1}(b-a)^{r-1}\left[(ra+b)x_j - a\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}}\right] \\
&= (b-a)^{r-1}\left[z(ra+b)(b-a) - \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} y_j\left((ra+b)x_j - a\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}}\right)\right] \\
&= (b-a)^{r-1}\left[(ra+b)\left(z(b-a) - \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} x_j y_j\right) + a\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}}\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} y_j\right] \\
&= (b-a)^{r-1}\left[(ra+b)\left(z(b-a) - \mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{xy}}\right) + a\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbf{y}}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. This completes the proof. □

4 Pre-kites and formulas for their volumes and circumradii

In this section, we introduce the new family of pre-kites, and we use Theorem 3.2 to derive formulas for the volumes and circumradii of these simplices and of their facets. These formulas will be used in Section 6 to investigate the degree of regularity implied by the coincidence of two of the classical centers of an n-pre-kite S, $n \ge 2$.

We shall call the n-simplex $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ an *n-pre-kite* if one of the facets S_j is a regular $(n-1)$-simplex. In this case, we call A_j an *apex*, and S_j a *base* of S. Actually there will be no harm in referring to these as "the" apex and "the"

base, although an n-pre-kite can have more than one apex (and hence more than one base), as we shall see later in Section 5.

Notice that if the n-simplex $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ is an n-pre-kite with apex A_0, and if the lengths of the edges that emanate from A_0 are all equal, then S is what was called an n-kite in [18] and in other papers. Notice also that all the facets (and hence all the faces) of a pre-kite are also pre-kites.

If the n-simplex $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ is an n-pre-kite with apex A_0, and if

$$\|A_i - A_j\|^2 = \begin{cases} v_i & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ u & i \neq j \text{ and } 1 \leq i,\ j \leq n, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

then we shall denote S by $PK[n; u; v_1, \cdots, v_n]$. Note that this n-pre-kite is an n-kite precisely when $v_1 = \cdots = v_n$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let*

$$\mathbf{v} \ = \ (v_1, \cdots, v_n), \tag{21}$$

and let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ be the n-pre-kite with apex A_0 defined by

$$S \ = \ PK[n; u; \mathbf{v}] \ = \ PK[n; u; v_1, \cdots, v_n], \tag{22}$$

where $n \geq 3$ and $u > 0$. Then the Cayley-Menger determinant of S is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(PK[n; u; \mathbf{v}]) \ = \ (-u)^{n-2} \left[n(u^2 + v_1^2 + \cdots + v_n^2) - (u + v_1 + \cdots + v_n)^2 \right], \tag{23}$$

and the inner Cayley-Menger determinant of S is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(PK[n; u; \mathbf{v}]) \ = \ (-u)^{n-1} \left[(n-1)(v_1^2 + \cdots + v_n^2) - (v_1 + \cdots + v_n)^2 \right]. \tag{24}$$

Proof. The Cayley-Menger determinant of the pre-kite $PK[n; u; \mathbf{v}]$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(PK[n; u; \mathbf{v}]) \ = \ \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & \cdots & v_{n-1} & v_n \\ 1 & v_1 & 0 & u & \cdots & \cdots & u & u \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & v_{n-1} & u & u & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & u \\ 1 & v_n & u & u & \cdots & \cdots & u & 0 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

Multiplying the uppermost row by u and interchanging it with the next row, and then multiplying the leftmost column by u and interchanging it with the next column, we obtain

$$u^2 \mathcal{C}(PK[n; u; \mathbf{v}]) \ = \ \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u & v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & \cdots & v_{n-1} & v_n \\ u & 0 & u & u & \cdots & \cdots & u & u \\ v_1 & u & 0 & u & \cdots & \cdots & u & u \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ v_{n-1} & u & u & u & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & u \\ v_n & u & u & u & \cdots & \cdots & u & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{K}(n+1;0;u,v_1,\cdots,v_n;u,v_1,\cdots,v_n;u,0)\\
&= (-u)^{n-1}\left[(-nu)(u^2+v_1^2+\cdots+v_n^2)+u(u+v_1+\cdots+v_n)^2\right]\\
&= (-u)^{n}\left[n(u^2+v_1^2+\cdots+v_n^2)-(u+v_1+\cdots+v_n)^2\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathcal{C}(PK[n;u;\mathbf{v}]) = (-u)^{n-2}\left[n(u^2+v_1^2+\cdots+v_n^2)-(u+v_1+\cdots+v_n)^2\right],
$$

as desired.

We now calculate the inner Cayley-Menger determinant $\mathcal{D}(PK[n;u;\mathbf{v}])$ of the n-pre-kite $PK[n;u;\mathbf{v}]$.

$$
\mathcal{D}(PK[n;u;\mathbf{v}]) = \begin{vmatrix}
0 & v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & \cdots & v_{n-1} & v_n\\
v_1 & 0 & u & \cdots & \cdots & u & u\\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\
v_{n-1} & u & u & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & u\\
v_n & u & u & \cdots & \cdots & u & 0
\end{vmatrix}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{K}(n;0;v_1,\cdots,v_n;v_1,\cdots,v_n;u,0)\\
&= (-u)^{n-2}\left[(n-1)(-u)(v_1^2+\cdots+v_n^2)+u(v_1+\cdots+v_n)^2\right]\\
&= (-u)^{n-1}\left[(n-1)(v_1^2+\cdots+v_n^2)-(v_1+\cdots+v_n)^2\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof. □

The next theorem is immediate, but we record it for ease of reference.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $n \geq 3$, and let S be the n-pre-kite defined by*

$$
S = PK[n;u;\mathbf{v}] = PK[n;u;v_1,\cdots,v_n].
$$

For $0 \leq j \leq n$, let S_j be the j-th facet of S, and let $\mathcal{C}_j$ and $\mathcal{D}_j$ be the Cayley-Menger and the inner Cayley-Menger determinants of S_j. Let

$$
\alpha = u+v_1+\cdots+v_n, \quad \beta = u^2+v_1^2+\cdots+v_n^2. \tag{26}
$$

Then for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_0 &= (-1)^n n u^{n-1}. &(27)\\
\mathcal{C}_j &= (-u)^{n-3}\left[-\alpha^2+(n-1)\beta-nv_j^2+2\alpha v_j\right]. &(28)\\
\mathcal{D}_0 &= (-1)^{n+1}u^n(n-1). &(29)\\
\mathcal{D}_j &= (-u)^{n-2}[(n-2)\beta-\alpha^2+2\alpha u-(n-1)u^2-(n-1)v_j^2\\
&\quad +2\alpha v_j-2uv_j]. &(30)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= PK[n-1; u; u, \cdots, u] && (31)\\ S_j &= PK[n-1; u; v_1, \cdots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \cdots, v_n] \text{ if } 1 \le j \le n, && (32) \end{aligned}$$

and using Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_0 &= (-u)^{n-3}\left[(n-1)nu^2 - n^2u^2\right]\\ &= (-1)^n n u^{n-1},\\ \mathcal{C}_j &= (-u)^{n-3}\left[(n-1)(\beta - v_j^2) - (\alpha - v_j)^2\right]\\ &= (-u)^{n-3}\left[(n-1)\beta - (n-1)v_j^2 - \alpha^2 - v_j^2 + 2\alpha v_j\right]\\ &= (-u)^{n-3}\left[-\alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta - nv_j^2 + 2\alpha v_j\right],\\ \mathcal{D}_0 &= (-u)^{n-2}\left[(n-2)u^2(n-1) - (n-1)^2u^2\right]\\ &= (-1)^{n+1}u^n(n-1),\\ \mathcal{D}_j &= (-u)^{n-2}\left[(n-2)(\beta - v_j^2 - u^2) - (\alpha - v_j - u)^2\right]\\ &= (-u)^{n-2}\left[(n-2)\beta - (n-2)v_j^2 - (n-2)u^2 - \alpha^2 - v_j^2 - u^2 + 2\alpha v_j + 2\alpha u - 2uv_j\right]\\ &= (-u)^{n-2}\left[(n-2)\beta - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha u - (n-1)u^2 - (n-1)v_j^2 + 2\alpha v_j - 2uv_j\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

The next theorem uses Theorem 4.1 to provide another derivation of the relation (1) mentioned earlier.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $S = [A_1, \cdots, A_{n+1}]$ be a regular n-simplex of edge length t_0, and let P be a point in its affine hull. Let t_j, $1 \le j \le n+1$, denote the distance from P to the vertex A_j. Then*

$$(n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} t_j^4 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} t_j^2\right)^2. \qquad (33)$$

Proof. Since the point P lies in the affine hull of the regular n-simplex $S = [A_1, \cdots, A_{n+1}]$, then the $(n+1)$-pre-kite (having P as an apex) is degenerate, and hence has volume 0. Equivalently, its Cayley-Menger determinant is 0. Since t_0 is not 0, the relation (33) follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and (5). □

We end this section by giving a new proof of Pompeiu's theorem. The proof uses the last part of the next lemma; the other parts of the lemma will be used later.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ be a regular n-simplex with center I, and let u and R be its edge length and circumradius, respectively. Let G be the center of the (regular) $(n-1)$-simplex $S_0 = [A_1, \cdots, A_n]$. Then*

$$\frac{R^2}{u^2} = \frac{n}{2(n+1)}, \qquad (34)$$

$$\|A_0 - G\| = \frac{(n+1)R}{n} = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{2n}}u. \qquad (35)$$

If P is an arbitrary point in the affine hull of S with $\|P - I\| = \rho$, then

$$\|P - A_0\|^2 + \cdots + \|P - A_n\|^2 \;=\; (n+1)(\rho^2 + R^2), \tag{36}$$

and therefore P lies on the circumsphere of S if and only if

$$\|P - A_0\|^2 + \cdots + \|P - A_n\|^2 \;=\; 2(n+1)R^2 \;=\; nu^2. \tag{37}$$

Proof. For (34) and (35), see Proposition 4.6 (p. 281) of [9]. For (36), assume, without loss of generality, that I is the origin $\mathcal{O}$. Then $\|A_j\| = R$ for $0 \le j \le n$, and $\|P\| = \rho$. Also $A_0 + \cdots + A_n = \mathcal{O}$, and hence $P \cdot A_0 + \cdots + P \cdot A_n = 0$. Therefore

$$\|P - A_0\|^2 + \cdots + \|P - A_n\|^2 \;=\; (n+1)\rho^2 + (n+1)R^2,$$

as desired. For (37), we use (36) and (34). □

Theorem 4.5 *Let T be an equilateral triangle with circumcircle Γ, and let P be an arbitrary point in its plane. Then the distances from P to the vertices of T can serve as the side lengths of a triangle T_P. Also, T_P is degenerate if and only if P lies on Γ.*

Proof. Let a be the side lengths of T, and let x, y, and z be the distances from P to the vertices of T. By the case $n = 2$ of (1), we have

$$g := 3(a^4 + x^4 + y^4 + z^4) - \left(a^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)^2 \;=\; 0. \tag{38}$$

This polynomial g simplifies into

$$\begin{aligned} g &= 2[a^4 - a^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)] + 3(x^4 + y^4 + z^4) - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^2 \\ &= 2\left(a^2 - \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^2 + 3(x^4 + y^4 + z^4) \\ &= 2\left(a^2 - \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2}\left[2(x^2y^2 + y^2z^2 + z^2x^2) - (x^4 + y^4 + z^4)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g = 0$, it follows that

$$h := 2(x^2y^2 + y^2z^2 + z^2x^2) - (x^4 + y^4 + z^4) \;\ge\; 0, \tag{39}$$

with equality if and only if

$$a^2 \;=\; \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{2}. \tag{40}$$

By the last part of Lemma 4.4, this is equivalent to saying that P is on Γ. Thus we assume that $h > 0$, i.e.,

$$(x + y + z)(-x + y + z)(x - y + z)(x + y - z) \;>\; 0. \tag{41}$$

Since $x+y+z>0$, $h>0$ is equivalent to

$$(-x+y+z)(x-y+z)(x+y-z) \;>\; 0. \tag{42}$$

Since the sum of any two of the terms $-x+y+z$, $x-y+z$, and $x+y-z$ is non-negative, it follows that at most one of these terms is negative. Thus if one of them is negative, then the other two are non-negative, contradicting (42). Therefore the three terms are non-negative, i.e., positive. Therefore

$$y+z>x, \quad z+x>y, \quad x+y>z, \tag{43}$$

proving that x, y, and z can serve as the side lengths of a (non-degenerate) triangle.

Thus if $h=0$, P lies on Γ, and x, y, and z form the side lengths of a degenerate triangle; if $h>0$, P does not lie on Γ, and x, y, and z form the side lengths of a non-degenerate triangle. This is what we were to prove. □

5 Two-apexed pre-kites and the limitations on their edge lengths

We define a *two-apexed n-pre-kite* to be an n-simplex $S=[A_0,\cdots,A_n]$, $n\geq 3$, in which two of its facets are regular $(n-1)$-simplices. Notice that a two-apexed n-pre-kite is nothing but an n-pre-kite with two apexes. It will also be proved in Lemma 5.1 that a non-regular n-pre-kite cannot have more than 2 apexes.

The main theorem in this section, namely Corollary 5.3, gives necessary and sufficient conditions on given positive numbers so that they can serve as the edge lengths of a two-apexed n-pre-kite.

Lemma 5.1 *A non-regular n-pre-kite, $n\geq 3$, can have at most two apexes.*

Proof. Let $S=[A_0,\cdots,A_n]$ be an n-pre-kite, and suppose that A_0, A_1, and A_2 are three apexes. We are to prove that S is regular. Since A_2A_3 is an edge in both facets S_0 and S_1, and since these facets are regular, it follows that the facets S_0 and S_1 have the same edge length. Similary, we show that the facets S_0 and S_2 have the same edge length. But every edge in S is an edge in one of the facets S_0, S_1, and S_2. Thus all edges of S have the same length. □

Theorem 5.2 *Let $S=[A_0,\cdots,A_n]$ be a regular n-simplex that lies in $\mathbb{R}^m$ for some $m\geq n+1$, and let its side length be u and its circumradius be R. Let Q_0 be the reflection of A_0 about the affine hull H_0 of the facet $S_0=[A_1,\cdots,A_n]$, and let Ω be the set of all points Q in $\mathbb{R}^m$ for which the n-simplex $[Q,A_1,\cdots,A_n]$ is regular. Then*

$$\{\|Q-A_0\| : Q\in\Omega\} \;=\; \left[0,\sqrt{\frac{2(n+1)}{n}}u\right] \tag{44}$$

$$\;=\; \left[0,\frac{2(n+1)}{n}R\right], \tag{45}$$

with the extreme values taken at $Q=A_0$ and at $Q=Q_0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that the center of S lies at the origin $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the collection of all $(n+1)$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^m$ that contain S, and for any $V \in \mathcal{C}$, let $L_V = \{\|Q - A_0\| : Q \in \Omega \cap V\}$. Let LHS and RHS stand for the left and right hand sides of (44), respectively. If we could prove that $L_V = RHS$, then we will be done. This is because every point in $\mathbb{R}^m$ belongs to some $V \in \mathcal{C}$, and hence LHS is the union of all L_V, where V ranges in $\mathcal{C}$, and since RHS depends on S only. Thus we take any $V \in \mathcal{C}$, and we are to prove that $L_V = RHS$. In other words,

$$\text{we assume that } m = n+1, \text{ and we set } \mathbb{R}^m = V.$$

Let G be the center of S_0, and let H_0 be the affine hull of S_0. Let $W = \{P \in V : (P - G) \perp H_0\}$. Thus W is the shifted orthogonal complement of H_0, namely $W - G = (H_0 - G)^\perp$. Thus $\dim W = \dim V - \dim H_0 = (n+1) - (n-1) = 2$, i.e., W is a plane. We have used the facts that if A is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space B, then $B = A \oplus A^\perp$ ([23, Theorem 3.3-4, p. 146]), and that every finite dimensional subspace of a normed space is closed ([23, Theorem 2.4-5, p. 74]). Since G is the center of the facet S_0 of the regular n-simplex S, it follows that $A_0 - G$ is an altitude of S, i.e., $(A_0 - G) \cdot H_0 = 0$, and hence $A_0 \in W$. Similarly, $Q_0 \in W$.

Let Γ be the circle in W centered at G and passing through A_0 (and Q_0). We claim that $\Omega = \Gamma$. To see this, let $P \in \Gamma$, and let $1 \le i \le n$. Then $P \in W$ and hence $(G - P) \perp H_0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|P - A_i\|^2 &= \|P - G\|^2 + \|G - A_i\|^2, \text{ by Pythagoras' theorem} \\ &= \|A_0 - G\|^2 + \|G - A_i\|^2, \text{ because } P \in \Gamma \\ &= \|A_0 - A_i\|^2, \text{ by Pythagoras' theorem.} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $[P, A_1, \cdots, A_n]$ is regular, and therefore $P \in \Omega$. Conversely, let $P \in \Omega$. Thus $T = [P, A_1, \cdots, A_n]$ is regular. Since G is the center of the facet S_0 of T, and since T is regular, it follows that $(P - G) \perp H_0$, and hence $P \in W$. In particular, $A_0, Q_0 \in W$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|P - G\|^2 &= \|P - A_1\|^2 - \|A_1 - G\|^2, \text{ by Pythagoras' theorem} \\ &= \|A_2 - A_1\|^2 - \|A_1 - G\|^2, \text{ because } [P, A_1, \cdots, A_n] \text{ is regular} \\ &= \|A_0 - A_1\|^2 - \|A_1 - G\|^2, \text{ because } [A_0, A_1, \cdots, A_n] \text{ is regular} \\ &= \|A_0 - G\|^2, \text{ by Pythagoras' theorem.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P \in \Gamma$. Thus we have shown that $\Gamma = \Omega$.

Since $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ is regular and since G is the center of its facet $S_0 = [A_1, \cdots, A_n]$, it follows that A_0G is perpendicular to H_0. Also A_0Q_0 is perpendicular to H_0. Therefore A_0, G, and Q_0 are collinear. Since A_0 and Q_0 lie on Γ, and since G is the center of Γ, it follows that A_0Q_0 is a diameter of Γ (with midpoint G). Therefore, as Q moves on Γ, $\|Q - A_0\|$ takes all values between 0 and $\|Q_0 - A_0\|$. Thus our proof will be complete if we prove that

$$\|Q_0 - A_0\| = \frac{2(n+1)}{n} R \;=\; \sqrt{\frac{2(n+1)}{n}}\, u.$$

But this follows immediately from the formula for $\|A_0 - G\|$ given in (35) and the fact that

$$\|A_0 - Q_0\| \;\; = \;\; 2\|A_0 - G\|.$$

This completes the proof. □

The following corollary will be used in a later section.

Corollary 5.3 *There exists an n-simplex having one edge of length $v > 0$ and having all the remaining edges of lengths $u > 0$ if and only if*

$$0 < \frac{v}{u} < \sqrt{\frac{2n}{n-1}}. \tag{46}$$

In other words, there exists a two-apexed n-pre-kite $PK[n; u; v_1, \cdots, v_n]$, with $v_1 = \cdots, v_{n-1} = u$ and $v_n = v$ if and only if u and v satisfy (46).

Proof. The previous theorem shows that there exists an $(n+1)$-simplex having one edge of length $v > 0$ and having all the remaining edges of lengths $u > 0$ if and only if

$$0 < \frac{v}{u} < \sqrt{\frac{2(n+1)}{n}}. \tag{47}$$

The desired result is obtained by replacing $n + 1$ by n. □

6 Coincidence of two of the classical centers of a pre-kite

The classical centers of an n-simplex $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ refer to the circumcenter, the incenter, and the centroid of S. The *circumcenter* $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(S)$ of S is the center of the $(n-1)$-sphere that passes through the vertices of S. The *incenter* $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(S)$ of S is the center of the $(n-1)$-sphere that touches the facets internally, i.e., at points that lie in the convex hulls of the facets. The *centroid* $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(S)$ of S is defined inductively to be the intersection of the medians of S, where a *median* of S is the line segment joining a vertex of S to the centroid of the opposite facet. It is also defined by the simple formula

$$\mathcal{G}(S) = \frac{A_0 + \cdots + A_n}{n+1}.$$

Theorem 6.2 proves that if the circumcenter and the centroid of an n-pre-kite S, $n \geq 2$, coincide, then it is regular. Theorem 6.3 proves that if the circumcenter and the incenter of an n-pre-kite S, $n \geq 2$, coincide, then it is regular. Theorem 6.4 proves that if the incenter and the centroid of an n-pre-kite S coincide, and if $n \leq 5$, then it is regular, and exhibits examples of non-regular n-pre-kite S, $n \geq 6$, in which the incenter and the centroid coincide.

The main tools in proving Theorems 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 are the formulas established in Theorem 4.2, together with the following theorem, proved in [10, Theorem 3.2, p. 496]. We recall that an n-simplex is said to be *equiareal* if its facets have equal volumes, i.e., equal $(n-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measures. It is said to have *well-distributed* edge lengths if its facets have equal variance, i.e., if the sum of squares of the edge lengths of a facet is the same for all facets. It is said to be *equiradial* if its facets have equal circumradii.

Theorem 6.1 *Let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ be an n-simplex. Then*

(i) The circumcenter $\mathcal{Q}$ and the centroid $\mathcal{G}$ of S coincide if and only if S has well-distributed edge lengths.

(ii) The circumcenter $\mathcal{Q}$ and the incenter $\mathcal{I}$ of S coincide if and only if $\mathcal{Q}$ is interior and S is equiradial.

(iii) The centroid $\mathcal{G}$ and the incenter $\mathcal{I}$ of S coincide if and only if S is equiareal.

(iv) The centroid $\mathcal{G}$, the circumcenter $\mathcal{Q}$, and the incenter $\mathcal{I}$ of S coincide if and only if two of the conditions

(a) S has well-distributed edge lengths,

(b) S is equiradial,

(c) S is equiareal

hold. When this happens, the third condition also holds.

Theorem 6.2 *Let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ be an n-pre-kite, and suppose that $n \geq 2$. If the circumcenter $\mathcal{Q}$ and the centroid $\mathcal{G}$ of S coincide, then S is regular.*

Proof. Suppose that the circumcenter $\mathcal{Q}$ and the centroid $\mathcal{G}$ of S coincide. By Theorem 6.1, S has well-distributed edge lengths. Then the sum L_j, $0 \leq j \leq n$, of squares of the lengths of the edges of the facet S_j does not depend on j. Let L be the sum of squares of the lengths of the edges of S, and let M_j, $0 \leq j \leq n$, be the sum of squares of the lengths of the edges that emanate from A_j. Since $M_j = L - L_j$, it follows that M_j does not depend on j. Assuming that A_0 is the apex of the pre-kite S, it follows from (20) that

$$M_0 = v_1^2 + \cdots + v_n^2, \tag{48}$$

$$M_j = v_j^2 + (n-1)u^2 \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n. \tag{49}$$

It follows from (49) that $v_1 = \cdots = v_n$. If v is the common value of $v_1, \cdots, v_n$, then it follows by subtracting (48) from (49) and using that their left hand sides are equal that $(n-1)u^2 = (n-1)v^2$, and hence $v = u$. This shows that S is regular, and ends the proof. □

Theorem 6.3 *Let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ be an n-pre-kite, and suppose that $n \geq 2$. If the circumcenter $\mathcal{Q}$ and the incenter $\mathcal{I}$ of S coincide, then S is regular.*

Proof. Suppose that the circumcenter $\mathcal{Q}$ and the incenter $\mathcal{I}$ of S coincide. By Theorem 6.1, S is equiradial. Let R_j, $0 \leq j \leq n$, be the circumradius of the j-th facet. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$. Using (7), we see that $R_0 = R_j$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{C}_0\mathcal{D}_j \;=\; \mathcal{C}_j\mathcal{D}_0. \tag{50}$$

By Theorem 4.2, (50) simplifies into

$$2(\alpha - nu)v_j \;=\; \alpha^2 + \beta - 2n\alpha u + n(n-1)u^2. \tag{51}$$

If $\alpha = nu$, then it follows from (51) that $\beta = nu^2$. By (23), the Cayley-Menger determinant $\mathcal{C}(PK[n; u; \mathbf{v}])$ of S is 0. Thus S is degenerate, which we discard. Therefore $\alpha \neq nu$. It now follows from (51) that v_j does not depend on j. Therefore $v_1 = \cdots = v_n$. This means that S is a n-kite. By Lemma 4.5 of [9], S is regular. □

Theorem 6.4 *Let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$, $n \geq 2$, be an n-pre-kite. Suppose that the incenter $\mathcal{I}$ and the centroid $\mathcal{G}$ of S coincide, i.e., S is equiareal.*

If $n \leq 5$, then S is regular.

If $n \geq 6$, then S is not necessarily regular; i.e. there exist non-regular n-pre-kites, in fact two-apexed n-pre-kites, in which the incenter and centroid coincide.

Proof. Suppose that $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$ is a non-regular equiareal n-pre-kite with apex A_0, say

$$S = PK[n; u; v_1, \cdots, v_n].$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_j$ be the volume of the j-th facet S_j of S, and let $\mathcal{C}_j$ be the Cayley-Menger determinant of S_j, as defined in (4). By Theorem 4.2,

$$\mathcal{C}_j = \begin{cases} (-u)^{n-3}\left[-\alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta - nv_j^2 + 2\alpha v_j\right] & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ (-1)^n nu^{n-1} & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

By (5), $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_j \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_j$.

We prove first that $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ cannot be all equal. In fact, if $v_1 = \cdots = v_n (= x,$ say), then the condition $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_0$ yields $u = x$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_0 &\iff nu^2 - \alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta - nx^2 + 2\alpha x = 0 \\ &\iff 2(n-1)u^2 + 2ux(1-n) = 0 \\ &\iff 2(n-1)u(u-x) = 0 \\ &\iff u = x. \end{aligned}$$

Then S is regular, contradicting the assumptions.

Thus we assume that $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ are not all equal.

Next, we prove that there do not exist three distinct indices i, j, k in $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that v_i, v_j, and v_k are pairwise different. This is because the existence of such indices contradicts the assumption $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_j = \mathcal{V}_k$. In fact,

$$\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_j = \mathcal{V}_k \iff \mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_j = \mathcal{C}_k$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\iff -nv_i^2 + 2\alpha v_i = -nv_j^2 + 2\alpha v_j = -nv_k^2 + 2\alpha v_k \\
&\iff (v_i - v_j)\left[-n(v_i + v_j) + 2\alpha\right] = (v_j - v_k)\left[-n(v_j + v_k) + 2\alpha\right] = 0 \\
&\iff -n(v_i + v_j) + 2\alpha = -n(v_j + v_k) + 2\alpha = 0 \\
&\implies -n(v_i + v_j) = -n(v_j + v_k) \\
&\implies v_i = v_k,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Therefore no three of the numbers $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ are pairwise distinct.

Thus there are two different numbers x and y and an index $t \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
v_j = x \text{ if } 1 \leq j \leq t, \text{ and } v_j = y \text{ if } t < j \leq n. \tag{52}
$$

Let $s = n - t$. We may clearly assume that $t \geq s$. Thus S is of the form

$$
S \;=\; PK[n; u; \overbrace{x, \cdots, x}^{t}, \overbrace{y, \cdots, y}^{s}], \tag{53}
$$

where x is repeated t times and y is repeated $s = n - t$ times. Let i and j be such that $v_i = x$ and $v_j = y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_j &\iff -nx^2 + 2\alpha x = -ny^2 + 2\alpha y \\
&\iff (x - y)\left[-n(x + y) + 2\alpha\right] = 0 \\
&\iff -n(x + y) + 2\alpha = 0 \\
&\iff -n(x + y) + 2(tx + sy) + 2u = 0 \\
&\iff (-n + 2t)x + (-n + 2s)y + 2u = 0 \\
&\iff (t - s)x + (s - t)y + 2u = 0 \\
&\iff (t - s)(y - x) = 2u.
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_0 &\iff -\alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta - nx^2 + 2\alpha x = -nu^2 \\
&\iff nu^2 - \alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta - nx^2 + 2\alpha x = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \text{ is equiareal} &\iff \mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_0 \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_j \\
&\iff (i)\ nu^2 - \alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta - nx^2 + 2\alpha x = 0, \text{ and} \\
&\qquad (ii)\ (t - s)(y - x) = 2u.
\end{aligned} \tag{54}
$$

Thus equiareality of S is equivalent to fulfilment of (i) and (ii) of (54). Since $u \neq 0$, these imply that

$$
t \;\neq\; s. \tag{55}
$$

Let us first treat the case

$$
s = 1, \quad t = n - 1.
$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} & S \text{ is equiareal} \\ \iff & (i)\ 2nu^2 - \alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta - nx^2 + 2\alpha x = 0, \text{ and} \\ & (ii)\ (n-2)(y-x) = 2u. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging $2u = (n-2)(y-x)$ in (i), we obtain $(n-1)(n-2)(x-y)(xn-yn+2y) = 0$, i.e., $xn-yn+2y = 0$. Solving this with $2u = (n-2)(y-x)$, we obtain $x = u$. Thus one of the edge lengths of S is

$$\frac{un}{n-2},$$

and each other edge length is u. In view of Corollary 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{such an } n\text{-simplex exists} & \iff \frac{n}{n-2} < \sqrt{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \\ & \iff n^2(n-1) < 2n(n-2)^2 \\ & \iff n^2 - 7n + 8 > 0 \\ & \iff n > \frac{7+\sqrt{17}}{2} \approx 5.6 \\ & \iff n \geq 6. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $n \geq 6$, there are non-regular equiareal n-pre-kites. These can even be chosen to be of the form $PK[n; u; v_1, \cdots, v_n]$ with $v_1 = \cdots = v_{n-1} = u$, i.e., a two-apexed n-pre-kite. If $n \leq 5$, then a non-regular equiareal n-pre-kite must have

$$t, s \ \geq \ 2. \tag{56}$$

In view of (55), this is possible only if $(n, t, s) = (5, 3, 2)$. We show now that this cannot happen either. In fact, this assumption would imply that

$$\alpha = u + 3x + 2y, \quad \beta = u^2 + 3x^2 + 2y^2, \quad 2u = y - x.$$

Substituing these values of α, β, and u in (ii) and factorizing, we obtain

$$(y-x)(y-2x) = 0.$$

Since $y \neq x$, it follows that $y = 2x$ and $u = x/2$. Thus our 5-pre-kite is of the form $PK[5; u; 2u, 2u, 2u, 4u, 4u]$. Using (23), we see that the Cayley-Menger determinant of such a pre-kite is

$$(-u)^3[5(u^2+3(4u^2)+2(16u^2))-(u+3(2u)+2(4u))^2] = (-u)^3[(5)(45u^2)-(15u)^2)] = 0.$$

Thus this pre-kite, if it exists, is degenerate, which we reject.

This completes the proof. □

Question 6.5 The *Fermat-Torricelli point* $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(S)$ of an n-simplex S is the point whose distances from the vertices of S have a minimal sum. It is often thought of as a semi-classical (or even a classical) center. Thus it is natural to investigate the degrees of regularity implied by the coincidences $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{I}$, and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Q}$. In this regard, we recall Theorem 3.1, p. 496, of [10]. This states that if any two of the three centers $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{Q}$, and $\mathcal{G}$ coincide, then all the three coincide. Thus each of the coincidences $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Q}$ implies that $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{G}$, and hence regularity (by Theorem 6.2 above). This leaves us with the question about the degree of regularity implied by the coincidence $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{I}$. We leave this open.

7 Pre-kites in the four special families of simplices

In this section, we shall see how the new family of n-pre-kites is related to the four known special families of orthocentric, circumscriptible, isodynamic, and tetra-isogonic n-simplices.

We recall that an n-simplex $S = [A_1, \cdots, A_{n+1}]$, $n \geq 2$, is said to be *orthocentric* if the altitudes of S are concurrent. It is said to be *circumscriptible* (or *edge-incentric* or *balloon*) if there is an $(n-1)$-sphere that touches all its edges internally. It is said to be *isodynamic* if the incentral cevians are concurrent. Here, an *incentral cevian* is the cevian that joins a vertex and the incenter of the opposite facet. It is said to be *tetra-isogonic* if every four vertices of S form an isogonic tetrahedron, i.e., a tetrahedron whose inspherical cevians are concurrent. Here, an *inspherical cevian* is the cevian that joins a vertex to the point where the insphere touches the opposite face. Other characterizations appear in [19].

Let us denote the families of orthocentric, circumscriptible, isodynamic, and tetra-isogonic n-simplices and the families of n-kites and n-pre-kites by F_o, F_c, F_d, F_g, F_k, and F_p, respectively.

It is proved in [18] that the intersection of any two of the families

$$F_o,\ F_c,\ F_d,\ \text{and } F_g \tag{57}$$

is the family F_k. In this section, we prove that this still holds if we enlarge the list in (57) to include our new family F_p. We prove this in Theorem 7.2. The proof is a consequence of the following theorem, which is taken from [18].

Theorem 7.1 *Let $S = [A_1, \cdots, A_{d+1}]$, $d \geq 2$, be a d-simplex. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
S \text{ is orthocentric} &\iff \text{there exist } \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{d+1} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that} \\
&\quad \|A_i - A_j\|^2 = \beta_i + \beta_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq d+1 \\
S \text{ is circumscriptible} &\iff \text{there exist } \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{d+1} > 0 \text{ such that} \\
&\quad \|A_i - A_j\| = \beta_i + \beta_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq d+1 \\
S \text{ is isodynamic} &\iff \text{there exist } \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{d+1} > 0 \text{ such that} \\
&\quad \|A_i - A_j\|^2 = \beta_i \beta_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq d+1 \\
S \text{ is tetra-isogonic} &\iff \text{there exist } \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{n+1} > 0 \text{ such that} \\
&\quad \|A_i - A_j\|^2 = \beta_i^2 + \beta_i \beta_j + \beta_j^2 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq d+1.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the numbers β_i, $1 \leq i \leq d+1$, appearing in the four equations are unique.

Theorem 7.2 *For $n \geq 3$, the intersection of any two of the five families*

$$F_o,\ F_c,\ F_d,\ F_g,\ \text{and } F_p \tag{58}$$

is the family F_k.

Proof. In view of the fact, proved in [18], that the intersection of any two of the four families F_o, F_c, F_d, and F_g is the family F_k, it remains to show that

$$F_o \cap F_p = F_c \cap F_p = F_d \cap F_p = F_g \cap F_p = F_k. \tag{59}$$

For this, Theorem 7.1 is very useful. Since the proofs of these statements are similar, we find it sufficient to prove the last statement only, i.e.,

$$F_g \cap F_p = F_k. \tag{60}$$

Thus let $S = [A_0, \cdots, A_n]$, $n \geq 3$, be the n-pre-kite $PK[n; u; v_1, \cdots, v_n]$ with apex A_0, and suppose that S is tetra-isogonic. By Theorem 7.1, there exist $\beta_0, \cdots, \beta_n > 0$ such that

$$\|A_i - A_j\|^2 = \beta_i^2 + \beta_i\beta_j + \beta_j^2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{61}$$

By the definition of $PK[n; u; v_1, \cdots, v_n]$, we see that

$$v_j = \beta_0^2 + \beta_0\beta_j + \beta_j^2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{62}$$

$$u = \beta_i^2 + \beta_i\beta_j + \beta_j^2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{63}$$

It follows from the second equation that

$$u = \beta_1^2 + \beta_1\beta_i + \beta_i^2 = \beta_1^2 + \beta_1\beta_j + \beta_j^2 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{64}$$

Therefore $(\beta_i - \beta_j)(\beta_1 + \beta_i + \beta_j) = 0$ for $2 \leq i < j \leq n$. Since $\beta_1 + \beta_i + \beta_j > 0$, it follows that $\beta_i = \beta_j$ for $2 \leq i < j \leq n$. By symmetry, we conclude that $\beta_i = \beta_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Letting β be the common value of $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n$, we see that

$$v_j = \beta_0^2 + \beta_0\beta + \beta^2 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n. \tag{65}$$

This shows that S is an n-kite. □

References

[1] C. Alsina and R. B. Nelsen, *Charming Proofs: A Journey into Elegant Mathematics*, The Dolciani Mathematical Expositions, No. 42, MAA, Washington, D. C., 2010.

[2] T. Andreescu, O. Mushkarov, and L. Stoyanov, *Geometric Problems on Maxima and Minima*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2006.

[3] T. Andreescu and R. Gelca, *Mathematical Olympiad Challenges*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.

[4] R. Barbara, Problem 90F, *Math. Gaz.* **90** (2006), 354.

[5] E. J. Barbeau, M. S. Klamkin, and W. O. J. Moser, *Five Hundred Mathematical Challenges*, Spectrum Series, MAA, Washington, D. C., 1995.

[6] J. Bentin, Regular simplicial distances, *Math. Gaz.* **79** (1995), 106.

[7] M. Berger, *Geometry I,* Springer, Berlin, 1994.

[8] R. Bhatia, A letter to the editor, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **30** (1991), 155.

[9] A. L. Edmonds, M. Hajja, and H. Martini, Orthocentric simplices and their centers, *Results Math.* **47** (2005), 266-295.

[10] A. L. Edmonds, M. Hajja, and H. Martini, Coincidences of simplex centers and related facial structures, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **46** (2005), 491-512.

[11] D. Fomin, S. Genkin, and I. Itenberg, *Mathematical Circles (Russian Experience)*, translated from Russian by Mark Saul, Mathematical World, vol. 7, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I. 1996.

[12] L. A. Graham, *Ingenious Mathematical Problems and Methods*, Dover, New York, 1959.

[13] M. Hajja, M. Hayajneh, B. V. Nguyen, and Sh. Shaqaqha, Distances from the vertices of a regular simplex, preprint.

[14] M. Hajja and P. Walker, Equifacial tetrahedra, *Internat. J. Math. Ed. Sci. Tech.* **32** (2001), 501-508.

[15] M. Hajja, Coincidences of centers in edge-incentric, or balloon, simplices, *Results Math.* **49** (2006), 237-263.

[16] M. Hajja and M. Hayajneh, Impurity of the corner angles in certain special families of simplices, *J. Geom.* **105** (2014), 539–560.

[17] M. Hajja and M. Hayajneh, The open mouth theorem in higher dimensions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 1057–1069.

[18] M. Hajja, I. Hammoudeh, and M. Hayajneh, Kites as the only doubly special simplices, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **56** (2015), 269–277.

[19] M. Hajja, M. Hayajneh, and H. Martini, More characterizations of certain special classes of simplices, *Results Math.* **69** (2016), 23–47.

[20] R. Honsberger, *Mathematical Delights*, The Dolciani Mathematical Expositions, No. 28, MAA, Washington, D. C., 2004.

[21] V. F. Ivanoff, The circumradius of a simplex, *Math. Mag.* **43** (1970), 71–72.

[22] J. D. E. Konhauser, D. Velleman, and S. Wagon, *Which Way Did the Bicycle Go? ... and Other Intriguing Mathematical Mysteries*, The Dolciani Mathematical Expositions, No. 18, MAA, Washington, D. C., 1996.

[23] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.

[24] G. Leng and Y. Zhang, The generalized sine theorem and inequalities for simplices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **278** (1998), 237–247.

[25] S.-Y. Lin, The n-dimensional Pythagorean theorem, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **26** (1990), 9–13.

[26] S. Savchev and T. Andreescu, *Mathematical Miniatures*, Anneli Lax New Mathematical Library, No. 43, MAA, Washington, D. C., 2003.

[27] N. Schaumberger, Problem 187, *The College Math. J.* **12** (1981), 155; solution by H. Eves, ibid, **13** (1982), 278–282.

[28] D. M. Y. Sommerville, *An Introduction to the Geometry of N Dimensions*, Dover, N. Y., 1958.

[29] M. Hajja, H. Martini, and M. Spirova, New extensions of Napoleon's theorem to higher dimensions, *Beit. Algebra Geom.* **49** (2008), 253–264.

[30] H. Martini and B. Weissbach, Napoleon's theorem with weights in n-space, *Geom. Dedicata* **74** (1999), 213–223.

[31] Problem B5 in the 62-Putnam Competition, *Math. Mag.* **77** (2004), 79.